Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 8973 Filed 11/05/10 Entered 11/05/10 22:05:16 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 17

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07) EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)				
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS					
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)					
PARTY (Check One Box Only) □ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Creditor □ Other □ Trustee	PARTY (C Debtor Creditor Trustee	Check One Box Only) □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Other				
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED) NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)						
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property 11-Recovery of money/property - \$542 turnover of property 12-Recovery of money/property - \$547 preference 13-Recovery of money/property - \$548 fraudulent transfer 14-Recovery of money/property - other	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) 61-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(5), domestic support 68-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury 63-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(8), student loan 64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation					
FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	(other than domestic support) 65-Dischargeability - other					
FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property ☐ 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay 72-Injunctive relief – other					
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest 81-Subordination of claim or interest					
FRBP 7001(5) − Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation	FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment 91-Declaratory judgment					
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability ☐ 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims ☐ 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud ☐ 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny (continued next column)	FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action 01-Determination of removed claim or cause Other SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq. 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court					
i i		elated to bankruptcy case)				
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law ☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$	this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23				
Other Relief Sought						

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 8973 Filed 11/05/10 Entered 11/05/10 22:05:16 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 17

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES						
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.				
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE			
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)						
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	Γ	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.			
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	1G	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE			
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)						
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE	EY (OR PLAINTIFF)			

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and **Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard

Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 Telephone: (310) 277-6910

Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Telephone: (212) 561-7700 Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 783-8300

Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:	Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)		
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,) Chapter 11		
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)		
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST,	-())) Adv. Pro. No. 10)		
Plaintiff,)		
v.)		
LITE-ON SALES & DISTRIBUTION INC., a/k/a LSDI, and LITE-ON IT CORP.,)))		
Defendants.	•		

THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OTHER AMOUNTS OWING TO THE ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 3905

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 8973 Filed 11/05/10 Entered 11/05/10 22:05:16 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 17

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc.

Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"), for his complaint and for his objection to proof of claim number 3905 (the "Complaint") against Lite-On Sales & Distribution Inc., also known as LSDI ("LSDI") and Lite-On IT Corp. ("LOIC") (collectively, LDSI and LOIC are referred to herein as "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendants to recover amounts due and owing from Defendants to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. In addition to contractual claims, the Trustee seeks recovery for unjust enrichment and to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy proceedings, as well as turnover of property of the bankruptcy estates. Finally, the Trustee objects to Defendants' proof of claim filed against the Debtors' estates.

THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 8973 Filed 11/05/10 Entered 11/05/10 22:05:16 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 17

- 3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively "Circuit City" or the "Debtors")¹ were corporations that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendants are both corporations organized under the laws of the State of California with their principal places of business in Fremont, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
 - 6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
- 7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

¹ The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard, Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 8973 Filed 11/05/10 Entered 11/05/10 22:05:16 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 17

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

- 9. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.
- 10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee").
- 11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors' retail locations (the "Stores") pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors' stores were completed.
- 12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.
 - 14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the "Effective Date").

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City and Defendants

- 15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.
- 16. On or about July 25, 2005, Circuit City and Defendant LSDI entered into an agreement pursuant to which Defendant LSDI sold goods to Circuit City (the "Agreement").
- 17. During the course of the parties' relationship, the parties engaged in numerous transactions pursuant to the Agreement, that are reflected in invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the "Defendants' Agreements").
- 18. Circuit City and Defendants conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date, under the Defendants' Agreements.
- 19. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendants (the "Books and Records").

C. <u>Amount Allegedly Owed to Defendants and Defendants' Proof of Claim No. 3905</u>

- 20. On or about January 13, 2009, Defendant LSDI filed a claim in the amount \$63,336.34 as a general unsecured, non-priority claim. The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as Claim No. 3905.
- 21. The Claim No. 3905 was filed on account of goods and services allegedly provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 8973 Filed 11/05/10 Entered 11/05/10 22:05:16 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 17

D. Amounts Owed to Circuit City by Defendants

22. As of the date hereof, on account of the pre-petition business conducted between Defendants and Circuit City pursuant to the Defendants' Agreements or otherwise, Defendants are indebted to Circuit City on account of the following unpaid obligations (the "Unpaid Obligations") in at least the following amounts:

 Chargebacks
 \$3,458.00

 Returns and Deposits
 \$21,226.00

 TOTAL
 \$24,684.00

23. The Unpaid Obligations are due, owing, and payable by Defendants to Circuit City.

E. <u>Transfers to Defendants Made Within 90 Days Prior to the Petition Date</u>

- 24. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases (the "Preference Period"), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendants in an amount not less than \$29,425.68. A list identifying each and every transfer during the Preference Period is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the "Preferential Transfers").
- 25. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendants bear the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendants to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 8973 Filed 11/05/10 Entered 11/05/10 22:05:16 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 17

COUNT I

AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)

- 26. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. During the Preference Period, Defendants were creditors of one or more of the Debtors.
- 28. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendants.
- 29. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.
 - 30. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.
 - 31. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.
- 32. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendants to receive more than Defendants would have received if (i) the Debtors' chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii) Defendants received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.
- 33. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

COUNT II

RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550

- 34. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein
- 35. Defendants were either (i) the initial transferee of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entity for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) an immediate or mediate transferee of the Preferential Transfers.
- 36. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 37. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

COUNT III

BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 38. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 39. Pursuant to the Defendants' Agreements, Defendants are obligated to pay to the Debtors the Unpaid Obligations as and for chargebacks and returns in connection with goods previously delivered by Defendants to Debtors under the Defendants' Agreements, as set forth in Paragraph 22, *supra*.
- 40. The Defendants' Agreements are valid and enforceable agreements against Defendants.

- 41. The Debtors performed their obligations under the Defendants' Agreements with respect to the Unpaid Obligations.
- 42. Defendants' failure to compensate the Debtors for the Unpaid Obligations constitutes a material breach of Defendants' obligations under the Defendants' Agreements.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breaches, the Debtors incurred damages in an amount not less than \$24,684.00.
- 44. Accordingly, the Trustee is entitled to a judgment against Defendants in an amount not less than \$24,684.00.

COUNT IV

UNJUST ENRICHMENT/QUASI CONTRACT

- 45. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. In the alternative to Count III, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count IV.
- 47. The Debtors conferred a benefit upon Defendants pursuant to the Defendants' Agreements, by agreeing to purchase various goods from Defendants pursuant thereto.
 - 48. Defendants knowingly accepted the benefit conferred by the Debtors.
- 49. The Debtors reasonably expected to be compensated by Defendants in an amount not less than \$24,684.00, on account of the benefit conferred upon Defendants.
- 50. Defendants' receipt of benefit without just compensation to the Debtors has unjustly enriched Defendants in an amount not less than \$24,684.00.

- 51. The Trustee has no adequate remedy at law to recover the Unpaid Obligations.
- 52. Accordingly, as a result of Defendants' unjust enrichment at the Debtors' expense, the Trustee is entitled to restitution from the Defendants in an amount not less than \$24,684.00.

COUNT V

TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542

- 53. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count V.
- 55. Defendants are in possession, custody, and control of the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$24,684.00.
 - 56. Defendants are not custodians for the Unpaid Obligations.
- 57. The Unpaid Obligations constitute valid and existing debts, due and owing by Defendants to the Debtors.
- 58. The Unpaid Obligations are property of the Debtors' estates under section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and constitute debts that are matured, payable on demand, or payable on order.
- 59. Despite being requested to do so, Defendants have not turned over or paid to Circuit City the Unpaid Obligations.

60. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 542, Defendants should be compelled to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$24,684.00.

COUNT VI

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 3905 – DISALLOWANCE UNDER SECTION 502(d)

- 61. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 62. Claim No. 3905 asserts a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors.
- 63. As alleged above, each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b), which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 64. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), Claim No.

 3905 must be disallowed unless and until Defendants pay to the Trustee an amount equal to each Preferential Transfer that is avoided.

COUNT VII

OBJECTION TO THE CLAIM NO. 3905 AS OVERSTATED

- 65. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 66. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that the Claim No. 3905 is overstated by \$2,225.00 (the "Overstated Amount").
- 67. The Overstated Amount is based on, among other things, pricing discrepancies and quantity shortages.

68. As a result of the above, the Claim No. 3905 should be reduced by the Overstated Amount to \$61,111.34.

COUNT VIII

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 3905 – SETOFF OF THE UNPAID OBLIGATIONS

- 69. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 70. In the alternative to Counts III, IV and V, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count VIII.
- 71. The Unpaid Obligations arose from business transactions between the Debtors and Defendants.
 - 72. The Unpaid Obligations are debts owed by Defendants to the Debtors.
- 73. Claim No. 3905 also arose from business transactions between Defendants and the Debtors, and is a debt allegedly owed by the Debtors to Defendants.
- 74. Consequently, under applicable law, the Debtors have established valid setoff rights.
- 75. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 558, the Debtors' defenses, including setoff, are preserved.
- 76. Under applicable law, the Unpaid Obligations should be setoff first against Claim No. 3905, as reconciled in Count VII, by up to the amount of the pre-petition portion of the Unpaid Obligations.
 - 77. After setoff, Claim No. 3905 should be reduced to \$36,427.34.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

- i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendants under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$29,425.68; and
- ii. Pursuant to Count III, enter judgment against Defendants for breach of contract and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$24,684.00; and
- iii. In the alternative to Count III, pursuant to Count IV, enter judgment against Defendants for unjust enrichment and award Circuit City restitution damages on account of Defendants' unjust enrichment in an amount not less than \$24,684.00; and
- iv. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, pursuant to Count V, order Defendants to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$24,684.00; and
- v. Pursuant to Count VI, disallow Claim No. 3905 in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendants to the Trustee of any avoidable and recoverable transfers; and
- vi. Pursuant to Count VII, reduce Claim No. 3905 from the overstated amount of \$63,336.34, to \$61,111.34; and
- vii. In the alternative to Counts III, IV, and V, pursuant to Count VIII, authorize the Trustee to effect a setoff against Claim No. 3905, reducing Claim No. 3905 by \$24,684.00, to \$36,427.34; and
- viii. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate;
 - ix. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and
- x. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia November 5, 2010 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner_

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679) 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 (310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor New York, New York 10017 (212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

EXHIBIT A

(Preferential Transfers)

Vendor Name	Check Clear Date	Check Number	Paid Amount		
LSDI	8/14/2008		1033560	\$	29,375.00
LSDI	9/12/2008		1034782	\$	23.08
LSDI	9/15/2008		1034936	\$	27.60

Total Payment Amount \$ 29,425.68